

WHOLE BUILDING STREAMLINED DESIGN PHASE ASSISTANCE

PROJECT TEAM							
	Name	Email					
Customer:							
Electric Sponsors:							
Gas Sponsors:							
PA's Technical Consultant:							
Architect:							
Mechanical Engineer:							
Electrical Engineer:							
General Contractor:							
Commissioning Agent:							

PROJECT INFO								
Project Name:								
Charrette Date:								
Interim Design Review Date:								
Full address ¹ :								
Design schedule:	100% SDs	100% DD	s	100% 0	Ds	Cons	truction C	Completion
Date:								
Facility type:								
Total project area (sf):								
New construction or renovation:	New Construction Renovation Addition			on				
Energy code followed by project:								
Typical Schedule Use:								
Heating Fuel source(s):	Electric	ic 🗌 Natural Gas 🗌 P		🗆 Prop	Propane 🗆 #2		Oil	□ #6 Oil
	Other (please specify):							
Certification Goals (ZNE, LEED):								
Site EUI Targets:	Baseline:		Proposed:		ed:			
Other important considerations:	Core and She	□ Fit out		🗌 Campu	us/District Plant			

1: Please indicate full and detailed address including: number, street, city, and zip code.

EVERSURCE











ENERGY EFFICIENCY RECOMMENDATIONS							
#	ECM						
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
1	Mid Design	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations			
		Review	Yes No				
		Charrette	Design	Target	Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
2			Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
2		Mid Design Review	Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
		i concor	YesNo				
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
3	Mid Design	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations			
		Review	□ Yes □ No	iligity			
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
4			Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
4		Mid Design Review	Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
		Review	Yes				
			□ No				
5		Charrette Discussion	Design	Target	Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			Yes				
			🗆 No				

2 Potential Savings represents percent energy savings of specific measure: low 5-10%, med 10-20%, high +20%



ENERGY EFFICIENCY RECOMMENDATIONS							
#	ECM						
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
6		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			YesNo				
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
_			Meets Mass Save	Potential	Notes and Recommendations		
7		Mid Design Review	Proposed Rec	savings² (low/medium/ high)			
		Review	YesNo				
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
8		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			□ Yes □ No				
	Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations			
		Discussion					
9		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
			Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
			☐ Yes				
			No Design	Targot	Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
10		Charrette Discussion	Design	larget			
		Mid Design Review	Meets	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			Mass Save Proposed Rec				
			🗆 No				



ENERGY EFFICIENCY RECOMMENDATIONS							
#	ECM						
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
11		Discussion					
		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			YesNo				
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
12			Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
IZ		Mid Design Review	Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
			Yes No				
			Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Charrette Discussion					
13		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ² (low/medium/	Notes and Recommendations		
			Proposed Rec	high)			
			Yes No				
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
14		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
			Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
			Yes				
			□ No				
15		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			🗆 No				



ENERGY EFFICIENCY RECOMMENDATIONS							
#	ECM						
		Charrette	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
16		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			Yes No				
		Charrette	Design	Target	Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Discussion					
17			Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
17		Mid Design Review	Mass Save Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
			YesNo				
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
18			Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
		Mid Design Review					
			□ Yes				
			□ No				
		Charrette Discussion	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
19		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save	Potential savings ²	Notes and Recommendations		
			Proposed Rec	(low/medium/ high)			
			YesNo				
		Charmatta	Design Target		Mass Save Proposed Recommendations		
		Charrette Discussion					
20		Mid Design Review	Meets Mass Save Proposed Rec	Potential savings ² (low/medium/ high)	Notes and Recommendations		
			Yes No				



ENERGY EFFICIENCY RECOMMENDATIONS

Additional Recommendations/Comments:

WE ARE MASS SAVE*:





EVERS
URCE





6